many instances a factor of 1.7 speedup was obtained. The solver is now being extended to three dimensions.
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Introduction

RECENTLY, there has been much interest in the use of a Lagrangian vortex method for the simulation of unsteady vortex flows. 1 In this method, the moving packets of vorticity are discretized into a collection of vortex elements. The elements connect at the local velocity and automatically track the vorticity packets as they evolve in the flow. The velocity is computed from a direct summation of the Biot-Savart induction, and for N vortex elements, the calculation has an asymptotic time complexity of O(N^2).

Results and Discussion

In the following, we describe a fast vortex method with enhanced computational efficiency. In this method, a grid of three-dimensional cubic boxes is superimposed on the computational domain, and the elements that reside in each box are clustered into a group. The velocity at a given observation point is computed from two components: a near-field vortex-induced velocity and a far-field group-induced velocity. The near-field velocity is computed by summing the Biot-Savart velocity due to all vortices that reside in the same box or immediate-neighboring boxes as the observation point. Vortices that reside in boxes that are well-separated from that of the observation point, i.e., more than one box length away, are considered to be in the far field, and their velocity inductions are computed using multipole expansions and Taylor series expansions.

The Biot-Savart velocity induction due to a group of vortex elements is given by

\[ u(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{[x - x_i(t)] \times \omega_i(t) \delta v}{|x - x_i(t)|^3} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( x_i, \omega_i, \) and \( \delta v \) are the position, vorticity strength, and vorticity volume, respectively, of the ith vortex element. A multipole expansion of Eq. (1) can be written as

\[ u(x) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{E_k}{r_k} \] \hspace{1cm} \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( E_k \) are the moment coefficients of the expansion \( r = x - x_{cm} \), and \( x_{cm} \) is the point of expansion. Provided that \( r > D \), where \( D \) is the group diameter, the multipole expansion converges. In particular, the truncation error of the expansion is bounded by \( c(D/r)^{p+1} \), where \( p \) is the number of terms in the expansion and \( c \) is a constant. Using the multipole expansion, the computational speed of the vortex method can be accelerated. This acceleration is because the inductive effects of a large number of vortices are replaced by a single group induction computed using a small number of numerical terms.

A further improvement in the computational speed can be attained using a Taylor series expansion. Here, the far-field group-induced velocity is evaluated at the centroid \( x_{cm} \) of a group of observation points, and a Taylor series expansion is used to compute the velocity at individual points within the group:

\[ u(x) = u(x_{cm}) + (x - x_{cm}) \cdot \nabla u(x_{cm}) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2!} [ (x - x_{cm}) \cdot \nabla ]^2 u(x_{cm}) + \ldots \] \hspace{1cm} (3)

In the following, the accuracy of the multipole expansions is examined. The test problem involves a single thin vortex ring on the \( x-y \) plane. The ring has a radius \( R = 1 \) and a circulation \( \Gamma = 4\pi \). It is represented as a single filament discretized into an array of 500 vortex elements. All of the elements are clustered into a group and the group-induced velocity is computed, using multipole expansions, at a set of observation points placed along an axial line intercepting the vortex ring. Three different cases with one, two, and three terms in the expansion are computed, and the velocities are compared to that obtained using a direct velocity summation.

Figure 1 shows a plot of the relative velocity error \( \frac{U_r - U_o}{U_o} \) where \( U_o \) is computed using a multipole expansion, and \( U_r \) is computed using a direct summation. A schematic illustrating the problem geometry is included as an insert in the figure. For points close to the vortex ring, all three cases give considerable error. This error is because the ratio \( D/z > 1 \), where \( D = 2 \), is the diameter of the vortex group, and the multipole expansion fails to converge. For points more than one diameter away from the ring, the error falls off rapidly as the number of multipole terms is increased.

The propagation of the vortex ring is computed using the fast vortex method, and the computed propagation speed is compared

![Fig. 1 Comparison of relative velocity error for multipole expansions, with one term—□; two terms—•; and three terms—○, computed for the vortex ring problem (insert).](image-url)